

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/063,226	04/01/2002		Eddy Benjamin Boskamp	121063	9512
23413	7590	09/13/2005		EXAMINER	
	COLBURN, ROAD SOU		ROY, BAISAKHI		
BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3737	

DATE MAILED: 09/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Summary	10/063,226	BOSKAMP ET AL.					
	Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	The Man INC DATE of this account of the	Baisakhi Roy	3737					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we tee to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	L. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ju	ne 2005.						
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) 🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	7						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 June 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
•	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
3	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	a.					
Attachmen	t(s)							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/063,226 Page 2

Art Unit: 3737

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 11-13, 15-18, 20-23, 25, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gonzalez Ballester et al. (2004/0070394) in view of Visser et al. (2002/0125888). Gonzalez Ballester et al. disclose a whole body magnetic resonance imaging method and system with a magnet assembly to generate a magnetic field, apply gradient waveforms to the magnetic field, applying RF energy with a radio frequency transceiver system which further comprises a multiple channel array coil configured for sensitivity encoding imaging techniques (abstract, [0014-0015] [0018] [0021]). The reference teaches said multiple channel array coil to configured into a cylindrical structure [0047] for imaging different regions of the body with said cylindrical structure comprising of a plurality of individual coil elements that are spaced apart from each other in a non-overlapping configuration [0053] [0068] [0071] [0074-0076] [0112] [0155] [0162]). Gonzalez Ballester et al. however do not explicitly teach said coil to have a cylindrically tapered head portion. In the same field of endeavor, Visser et al.

disclose a method and apparatus for implementing sensitivity encoding for MRI with the use of a multiple channel array coil having a cylindrically tapered head portion (fig. 5, 6, [0013-0017] [0031-0037]). Visser et al. further teach said array coil to comprise of 8 individual coil elements ([0015] [0031] [0037-0041]). Visser et al. teach using a preamplifier to isolate the next-nearest neighbor coil elements ([0031-0033]). With reference to the superior end of the head portion having a small diameter than the inferior end, Visser et al. teach the coils to be arranged according to the dimension of the body structure ([0031]). It would have therefore been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the head coil configuration teaching by Visser et al. to modify the teaching by Gonzalez Ballester et al. for the purpose of imaging employing a multiple channel array coil configuration to enable increased SNR.

4. Claims 6, 7, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gonzalez Ballester et al. as set forth above, and further in view of Chan et al. (6577888). Gonzalez Ballester et al. disclose a whole body magnetic resonance imaging method and system with a multiple channel array coil configured for sensitivity encoding imaging techniques (abstract, [0014-0015] [0018] [0021]). The reference teaches said multiple channel array coil to configured into a cylindrical structure [0047] for imaging different regions of the body with said cylindrical structure comprising of a plurality of individual coil elements that are spaced apart from each other in a non-overlapping configuration [0053] [0068] [0071] [0074-0076] [0112] [0155] [0162]). Gonzalez Ballester et al. however do not explicitly teach said coil to have a cylindrically tapered head portion. In the same field of endeavor, Visser et al. disclose a

Art Unit: 3737

method and apparatus for implementing sensitivity encoding for MRI with the use of a multiple channel array coil having a cylindrically tapered head portion (fig. 5, 6, [0013-0017] [0031-0037]). Visser et al. further teach said array coil to comprise of 8 individual coil elements ([0015] [0031] [0037-0041]). Visser et al. teach using a preamplifier to isolate the next-nearest neighbor coil elements ([0031-0033]). It would have therefore been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the head coil configuration teaching by Visser et al. to modify the teaching by Gonzalez Ballester et al. for the purpose of employing a multiple channel array coil configuration to enable increased SNR. Gonzalez Ballester et al. and Visser et al. do not explicitly teach a hinge assembly. In the same field of endeavor, Chan et al. disclose a cylindrically shaped head and chest coil assembly with a hinge mechanism or joint (col. 5 lines 17-40). It would have therefore been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the hinge assembly teaching by Chan et al. to modify the teaching by Gonzalez Ballester et al. and Visser et al. for the purpose of allowing movement of the anterior torso coil in the vertical direction and rotated in about the left-right axis.

5. Claims 3, 14, 19, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gonzalez Ballester et al. in view of Visser et al. as set forth above, and further in view of Kyriakos et al. (6680610). Gonzalez Ballester et al. and Visser et al. do not explicitly teach the use of transformer decoupling. In the same field of endeavor, Kyriakos et al. disclose a MRI method and system based on parallel imaging with decoupling of the coils in the array(col. 13 lines 35-40). It would have therefore been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the decoupling teaching by

Art Unit: 3737

Kyriakos et al. to modify the teaching by Gonzalez Ballester et al. and Visser et al. for the purpose of making it easier to design and execute arrays containing large number of coils.

6. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gonzalez Ballester et al. in view of Visser et al. in view of Chan et al. as set forth above, and further in view of Kyriakos et al. Gonzalez Ballester et al., Visser et al., and Chan et al. do not explicitly teach the use of transformer decoupling. In the same field of endeavor, Kyriakos et al. disclose a MRI method and system based on parallel imaging with decoupling of the coils in the array (col. 13 lines 35-40). It would have therefore been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the decoupling teaching by Kyriakos et al. to modify the teaching by Gonzalez Ballester et al., Visser et al., and Chan et al. for the purpose of making it easier to design and execute arrays containing large number of coils.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/063,226

Art Unit: 3737

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Baisakhi Roy whose telephone number is 571-272-7139. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30 a.m. - 4p.m.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian L. Casler can be reached on 571-272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

B.R.

BR

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 6